COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0356-06

Bill No.: SS#2 for SCS for HB 103 with SA1

Subject: Public Safety Department; Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: April 28, 2009

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to public safety.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012		
General Revenue*	\$0	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown		
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown		

* Potential offsetting income and expenses.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012		
State School Moneys	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 8 pages.

Bill No. SS#2 for SCS for HB 103 with SA1

Page 2 of 8 April 28, 2009

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012		
Total Estimated Net Effect on All					
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012		
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Local Government	\$0 or Unknown	\$0	\$0	

Bill No. SS#2 for SCS for HB 103 with SA1

Page 3 of 8 April 28, 2009

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

In response to a previous version of this proposal, officials from the Governor's Office, the Department of Public Safety - State Emergency Management Agency, the Department of Higher Education and the Department of Transportation each assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to a previous version of this proposal, officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Director's Office** stated that any costs associated with this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. Officials from the **Missouri Highway Patrol** and the **Missouri Water Patrol** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to a previous version of this proposal, officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Division of Fire Safety (DFS)** stated the Elevator Safety program is housed within DFS. This legislation allows the Elevator Safety Board to implement rules for the licensing of elevator mechanics and elevator contractors. The requirements and fees for this process has not been discussed with Division staff; therefore, the fiscal impact cannot be quantified at this time. There are approximately 500 elevator mechanics and 50 elevator contractors in Missouri.

Oversight assumes the Division of Fire Safety will be allowed to charge a fee for the licensure of elevator mechanics and elevator contractors sufficient to cover expenses. Oversight will reflect offsetting unknown costs and unknown revenue from changes Section 701.355. The proposal gives the Elevator Safety Board the power to license mechanics and contractors; therefore since the power rests with the board to have the licensure or not, Oversight will range the potential costs and revenue from \$0 (board does not utilize the power) to an unknown amount of costs/revenue.

In response to a previous version of this proposal, officials from the **Office of Secretary of State** (**SOS**) stated many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements

Bill No. SS#2 for SCS for HB 103 with SA1

Page 4 of 8 April 28, 2009

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Officials from the University of Missouri and Missouri Western State University each assumed the proposal would not create a fiscal impact.

Officials from **Missouri Southern State University** assume the proposal would create a minimal fiscal impact.

Officials from the Clark County Sheriff's Office, Columbia Police Department, and the Kansas City Police Department did not respond to our request for fiscal impact.

Senate Amendment 1 - '911' addresses on boat docks;

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HB 657), officials from the **Department of Conservation (MDC)** stated if they are required to post signs at some docks, this proposed legislation would have minimal fiscal impact on MDC funds.

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HB 657), officials from the **Department of Natural Resources** stated their Division of State Parks may be required to post signs at some docks; however, the fiscal impact would be minimal.

Oversight assumes the changes within the proposal could generate some addition fine revenue from the infractions of abandoning a boat dock and failing to display identifying information on a boat dock. Oversight will reflect this as a potential unknown amount of income to the local school districts and then a corresponding reduction in state funding to the schools in the following year. Oversight will assume the income and reduction of school districts will net to zero after the first year.

This proposal could increase Total State Revenues.

Bill No. SS#2 for SCS for HB 103 with SA1

Page 5 of 8 April 28, 2009

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
GENERAL REVENUE			
Savings - potential reduction in school funding required as a result of additional fine revenue paid to local school districts because of Senate Amendment 1	\$0	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown
Revenue - potential income to cover the costs of licensure of elevator mechanics and elevator contractors (Section 701.355)	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
Costs - potential costs to be borne by the Division of Fire Safety for the licensure of elevator mechanics and elevator contractors (Section 701.355)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown
STATE SCHOOL MONEYS			
Savings - reduced payments to local school districts (Senate Amendment 1)	\$0	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown
<u>Loss</u> - potential reduction in funding from the General Revenue fund for schools (Senate Amendment 1)	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET IMPACT TO THE STATE SCHOOL MONEYS FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

Bill No. SS#2 for SCS for HB 103 with SA1

Page 6 of 8 April 28, 2009

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
	(10 Mo.)		

LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS

Income - potential increase in fine revenue from infractions of abandoning a \$0 or Unknown \$0 or Unknown \$0 or Unknown dock and failing to display 911 information on a dock (Senate Amendment 1)

<u>Loss</u> - reduction in school funding as a		\$0 or	\$0 or
result of additional fine revenue collected	<u>\$0</u>	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
in the prior year (Senate Amendment 1)			

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO			
LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS	\$0 or Unknown	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

Public safety agencies are allowed to enter into mutual-aid agreements with other public and private agencies for reciprocal emergency aid. The mutual-aid system shall be administered by the Department of Public Safety.

Responders are deemed employees of the responding political subdivision or public safety agency and are subject to the liability and workers' compensation provisions provided to them as employees of their such subdivision or agency.

RS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0356-06 Bill No. SS#2 for SCS for HB 103 with SA1 Page 7 of 8 April 28, 2009

FISCAL DESCRIPTION (continued)

The Elevator Safety Board is given the power to adopt a code of rules and regulations governing licenses of elevator mechanics and elevator contractors.

Senate Amendment 1:

BOAT DOCKS - Beginning January 1, 2010, a person owning a boat dock on a lake with at least 950 miles of shoreline or on a lake constructed or maintained by the United States Army Corps of Engineers with certain specified exceptions will be required to display the appropriate 911 street address nearest to the dock by land. The bill specifies how and where the address must be displayed. A person who fails to display the identifying information will be guilty of an infraction.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Public Safety
Office of the Secretary of State
Office of the Governor
Department of Transportation
Department of Conservation
Department of Natural Resources
University of Missouri
Missouri Western State University
Missouri Southern State University

NOT RESPONDING:

Clark County Sheriff's Office Columbia Police Department Kansas City Police Department

Mickey Wilen

Bill No. SS#2 for SCS for HB 103 with SA1

Page 8 of 8 April 28, 2009

> Mickey Wilson, CPA Director April 28, 2009