COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.:</u> 3068-01 <u>Bill No.:</u> HB 1721

Subject: Motor Vehicles; Department of Revenue

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: March 5, 2010

Bill Summary: The proposal prohibits text messaging while driving for all drivers,

regardless of age.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on				
General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 3068-01 Bill No. HB 1721 Page 2 of 5 March 5, 2010

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 3068-01 Bill No. HB 1721 Page 3 of 5 March 5, 2010

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Transportation**, **Department of Public Safety** – **Missouri State Highway Patrol**, and the **Office of the State Public Defender** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

In response to a similar proposal from the current session (SB 701, LR # 3228-01), officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assumed the proposal would have no measurable fiscal impact the Office of Prosecution Services or county prosecutors.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume Section 304.820 now requires the DOR to assess points pursuant to Section 302.302 to a driver's record for a conviction violation of text messaging while driving offense created under these provisions regardless of age of the offender. This legislation removes the 21 and under age limitation which will result in an unknown increase in the number of convictions for driving while texting.

The proposal would administratively impact the DOR's Driver License Bureau. DOR states there are no statistics available to determine how many additional convictions the DOR may be required to process; however, one FTE can process 320 convictions per day. The DOR assumes that a minimum of 1 FTE will be needed to process the additional convictions resulting from this violation. If the volume of convictions received for processing exceeds 320 per day, then additional FTE will be required and will be requested through the appropriation process.

There are no statistics available to determine the volume of phone calls that may be received; however, currently a Telephone Information Operator is required to handle 100 calls per day. The DOR assumes that a minimum of 1 FTE will be needed to answer the additional phone calls. If the calls received for texting while driving exceeds 100 calls per day, then additional FTE will be required and will be requested through the appropriation process.

The DOR is unable to determine how many convictions will be received for texting while driving and, therefore, is unable to determine the forms and postage costs for issuing the suspension/revocation notices.

DOR assumes the following costs:

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3068-01 Bill No. HB 1721 Page 4 of 5 March 5, 2010

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

1 FTE Revenue Processing Tech (at \$25,380 per year) to process additional convictions for texting while driving and 1 FTE Telephone Information Operator (at \$25,380 per year) to answer telephone calls related to texting while driving. Postage and forms costs are unknown. DOR estimates the total cost to be approximately \$78,000 in FY 11, \$83,000 in FY 12, and \$85,000 in FY 13.

DOR assumes the proposal would also have a revenue impact of an unknown amount in the form of Reinstatement Fees Collected. Fees collected will be distributed, 75% highway fund, 15% cites, and 10% counties.

Oversight received information from the Missouri State Highway Patrol (MHP) that, as of January 12, 2010, the MHP had written 14 tickets for drivers twenty-one years of age or younger text messaging while driving since the statute went into effect on August 28, 2009.

Oversight received information that, as of January 14, 2010, the Department of Revenue (DOR) processed eight convictions for drivers twenty-one years of age or younger text messaging while driving since the statute went into effect on August 28, 2009.

Oversight assumes, based on the information received from the Missouri State Highway Patrol and the Department of Revenue (DOR), that the number of convictions resulting from prohibiting all drivers, regardless of age, from text messaging while operating motor vehicles would not be excessive. Oversight assumes DOR could absorb any increase in work load resulting from the proposal within existing resources. If the DOR experiences an increase that would require additional funding, the DOR could request the funding through the appropriation process.

Oversight assumes any revenue impact would be minimal, and reflects no change to Total State Revenue as a result of the proposal.

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013

L.R. No. 3068-01 Bill No. HB 1721 Page 5 of 5 March 5, 2010

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program, and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Transportation
Department of Revenue
Department of Public Safety

- Missouri State Highway Patrol
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director March 5, 2010