COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 3981-01 Bill No.: HJR 61

Subject: Constitutional Amendments; Bonds - General Obligation and Revenue

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 10, 2010

Bill Summary: This resolution proposes a constitutional amendment raising the allowable

level of bonded indebtedness for a school district from 15% to 20% of the

value of taxable tangible property in the district.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
General Revenue	\$0 or (More than \$7,000,000)	\$0	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0 or (More than \$7,000,000)	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 3981-01 Bill No. HJR 61 Page 2 of 6 February 10, 2010

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** assume the proposal would not have a fiscal impact on their agency, but would result in potential increased bond capacity for local school districts.

DESE states the proposal would increase the aggregate bond limit of school districts by billions of dollars. The actual effects of this proposal at the local level are dependent on the actions of the local school board in each district presenting bond issues to the voters and the actions of their local voters in approving or defeating proposed bond issues.

School districts cannot enter into general obligation bond debt without a supermajority voter approval. There is the potential for significant increases in debt obligations that have to be paid for by the taxpayers of a district. The protection is that a school board cannot just decide to sell bonds for a particular project. The school board must get voter approval and the supermajority level depends upon which election the issue is presented to the voters.

Even at the current 15% level, districts are not always successful in convincing voters to approve a bond issue. They will not all be successful if the level is raised to 20%. However, this proposal would give voters the option. For some districts, 15% of their assessed valuation would not provide enough bonding capacity to do the classroom additions or new building that may be needed. Raising the limit to 20% offers the potential for more bonding capacity subject to voter approval.

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HJR 84), officials from the **Sikeston School District** stated the fiscal impact of the proposal would be only the costs associated with opportunity.

In response to a similar proposal from 2009 (HJR 6), officials from the **Frances Howell School District** assumed there would be no fiscal impact to their district as a result of the proposal. Their district had sufficient capacity under the current 15% limitation.

Officials at the **Office of the Secretary of State** (**SOS**) assume unless a special election is called for the purpose, Joint Resolutions are submitted to a vote of the people at the next general election. If a special election is called to submit a Joint Resolution to a vote of the people, section 115.063.2 RSMo requires the state to pay the costs. Article III section 52(b) of the Missouri Constitution authorizes the general assembly to order a special election for measures

L.R. No. 3981-01 Bill No. HJR 61 Page 4 of 6 February 10, 2010

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

referred to the people and Article XII section 2(b) authorizes the governor to call a special election to submit constitutional amendments to a vote of the people.

The SOS is required to pay for publishing in local newspapers the full text of each statewide ballot measure as directed by Article I, Section 26, 27, 28 of the Missouri Constitution and Section 116.230-116.290, RSMo. The Secretary of State's office is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. Funding for this item is adjusted each year depending upon the election cycle with \$1.3 million historically appropriated in odd numbered fiscal years and \$100,000 appropriated in even numbered fiscal years to meet these requirements. The appropriation has historically been an estimated appropriation because the final cost is dependent upon the number of ballot measures approved by the General Assembly and the initiative petitions certified for the ballot. In FY 2009, at the August and November elections, there were 5 statewide Constitutional Amendments or ballot propositions that cost \$1.35 million to publish (an average of \$270,000 per issue). Therefore, the Secretary of State's office assumes, for the purposes of this fiscal note, that it should have the full appropriation authority it needs to meet the publishing requirements. However, because these requirements are mandatory, we reserve the right to request funding to meet the cost of our publishing requirements if the Governor and the General Assembly change the amount or eliminate the estimated nature of our appropriation.

Oversight has reflected in this fiscal note, the state potentially reimbursing local political subdivisions the cost of having this joint resolution voted on during a special election in fiscal year 2011. This reflects the decision made by the Joint Committee on Legislative Research, that the cost of the elections should be shown in the fiscal note. The next scheduled general election is in November 2010 (FY 2011). It is assumed the subject within this proposal could be on that ballot; however, it could also be on a special election called for by the Governor. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a potential election cost reimbursement to local political subdivisions in FY 2011.

To estimate the expense the state would incur for reimbursing local political subdivisions for a special election, **Oversight** requested expense estimates from all election authorities for an election. Eighty-six out of the one hundred fifteen election authorities responded to Oversight's request. From these respondents; the total election expense that would have to be reimbursed by the state government is over \$7 million. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a potential cost borne by the state in FY 2011 of over \$7 million for reimbursement to the local political subdivisions. Oversight assumes the Governor could call for a special election to be held prior to November, 2010 regarding this joint resolution; however, if a special election is not called, the subject will be voted on at the general election in November, 2010.

RS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3981-01 Bill No. HJR 61 Page 5 of 6 February 10, 2010

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight assumes that the proposed constitutional amendment, if approved by voters, only gives school districts the option of increasing the level of bond indebtedness and requires a local election to do so. Therefore, no estimate of fiscal impact to school districts is shown.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government GENERAL REVENUE	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
Expense - reimbursement of local political subdivisions for special election costs	\$0 or (More than \$7,000,000)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	\$0 or (More than <u>\$7,000,000)</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
Income - cost reimbursement from the State for special election	\$0 or More than \$7,000,000	\$0	\$0
Expense - cost for special election	\$0 or (More than \$7,000,000)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 3981-01 Bill No. HJR 61 Page 6 of 6 February 10, 2010

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

Upon voter approval, this proposed constitutional amendment raises the allowable level of bonded indebtedness for a school district from 15% to 20% of the value of taxable tangible property in the district.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Sikeston School District Frances Howell School District

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 10, 2010