

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 5326-05
Bill No.: SCS for HCS for HB 2297 with SA 1 and SA 2
Subject: Boards, Commissions, Committees, Councils; Taxation and Revenue - General
Type: Original
Date: April 28, 2010

Bill Summary: This proposal authorizes the establishment of the Kansas City Zoological District.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

In response to a previous version of this bill (5326-01), officials from the **State Tax Commission** assumed there would be no fiscal impact to their agency.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** state this proposal would have a positive fiscal impact on the City of an indeterminate amount.

In response to a previous version of this bill (5326-04), officials from **Cass County** assumed this is enabling legislation and does not force the County to participate. This legislation would have no fiscal impact on the county general revenue.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** state the Department will need to establish a new district type and corresponding code in their system.

DOR officials state the department's response to a proposal similar to or identical to this one in a previous session indicated the department planned to absorb the administrative costs to implement the proposal. Due to budget constraints, reduction of staff and the limitations within the department's tax systems, changes cannot be made without significant impact to the department's resources and budget. Therefore, the IT portion of the fiscal impact is estimated with a level of effort valued at \$4,441.

The value of the level of effort is calculated on 168 FTE hours to make programming changes to the sales tax processing system (MITS).

Oversight assumes OA-ITSD (DOR) is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity each year. Oversight assumes OA-ITSD (DOR) could absorb the costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, OA-ITSD (DOR) could request funding through the appropriation process.

Oversight assumes this proposal authorizes the establishment of the Kansas City Zoological District which may be composed of Jackson, Clay, Platte, and Cass Counties at the option of the voters of each such county. Should voters approve the imposition of a sales tax, the county could expect revenue to be generated for financial support of the Kansas City Zoological District. Oversight assumes the Department of Revenue would collect the sales tax and retain 1% collection fee which would be deposited in the State's General Revenue Fund.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight assumes the Kansas City Zoological District Sales Tax Trust Fund balance would be either a positive unknown or zero.

Oversight assumes this proposal as written is enabling legislation and would require action by the county's governing body before fiscal impact would be realized. Oversight assumes no state or local fiscal impact.

Senate Amendment 1, Section 184.503

Oversight assumes no fiscal impact.

Senate Amendment 2, Section 184.362

Oversight assumes no fiscal impact.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.


SOURCES OF INFORMATION

State Tax Commission
City of Kansas City
Department of Revenue
Cass County

Not Responding:

Clay County
Jackson County
Platte County

Mickey Wilson, CPA



Director
April 28, 2010