

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 5566-02
Bill No.: HB 1770
Subject: Labor and Management; Labor and Industrial Relations, Department of
Type: Original
Date: February 21, 2014

Bill Summary: This proposal would prohibit employers from requiring persons to become members of a private labor organization as a condition of employment.

FISCAL SUMMARY

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
| Local Government | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** provided the following response.

Each year, a number of proposals that would refer a statutory issue to a vote of the people may be considered by the General Assembly.

Unless a special election is called for the purpose, issues are submitted to the people at the next general election. The Missouri Constitution authorizes the General Assembly to order a special election for measures referred to the people. If a special election is called to submit a referendum to a vote of the people, state law requires the state to pay the costs. The cost of a special election has been estimated at \$7.1 million based on the cost of the 2012 Presidential Preference Primary. This figure was determined through analyzing and totaling expense reports from the 2012 Presidential Preference Primary received from local election authorities.

The SOS is required to pay for publishing in local newspapers the full text of each statewide ballot measure as directed by the Missouri Constitution and state law. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. Funding for this item is adjusted each year depending upon the election cycle with \$1.3 million historically appropriated in odd numbered fiscal years and \$100,000 appropriated in even numbered fiscal years to meet these requirements. The appropriation has historically been an estimated appropriation because the final cost is dependent on the number of ballot measures approved by the General Assembly and the number of initiative petitions certified for the ballot. In FY 2013, at the August and November elections, there were 5 statewide constitutional amendments or ballot propositions that cost \$2.17 million to publish (an average of \$434,000 per issue). Therefore, the SOS assumes, for the purposes of this fiscal note, that it should have the full appropriation authority it needs to meet the publishing requirements. However, because these publications are mandatory, we reserve the right to request funding to meet the cost of our publishing requirements if the Governor and the General Assembly change the amount or eliminate the estimated nature of our appropriation.

Oversight notes this proposal would submit a proposition to the voters at the August, 2014 primary election and assumes there would be no additional election cost to be reimbursed to local governments by the SOS.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** and the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact to their organizations.

| <u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u> | FY 2015 (10 Mo.) | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
|---|---------------------|------------|------------|
| | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |

| <u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u> | FY 2015 (10 Mo.) | FY 2016 | FY 2017 |
|---|---------------------|------------|------------|
| | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION


The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 5566-02
Bill No. HB 1770
Page 5 of 5
February 21, 2014

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
February 21, 2014

Ross Strope
Assistant Director
February 21, 2014