# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0409-02

Bill No.: Perfected HCS for HB 112

Subject: Boards, Commissions, Committees, Councils; Department of Health; Health Care

Professionals

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 3, 2015

Bill Summary: This proposal allows various state boards to collaborate with the

Department of Health and Senior Services or other entities to collect and analyze workforce data to assess the availability of qualified health care

providers.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
General Revenue	\$0 or (\$67,569)	\$0 or (\$74,376)	\$0 or (\$75,318)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0 or (\$67,569)	\$0 or (\$74,376)	\$0 or (\$75,318)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	6 FY 2017 F			
Various Professional Registration Funds	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)		

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 7 pages.

L.R. No. 0409-02

Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 112

Page 2 of 7 March 3, 2015

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
General Revenue	0 or 1	0 or 1	0 or 1	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0 or 1	0 or 1	0 or 1	

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 0409-02 Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 112 Page 3 of 7 March 3, 2015

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

In response to the previous version of this proposal, officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS)** stated the proposed legislation currently duplicates an existing program. The Missouri Healthcare Workforce Registry and Exchange (MoHWoRx) is an information system developed by DHSS to help health professionals meet state registration requirements and to provide comprehensive and timely information on health care access statewide. MoHWoRx currently supports the Missouri Health Professionals Registry and the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs (BNDD) online registration. The Missouri Health Professionals Registry is a voluntary registration tool that provides the foundation for a comprehensive Missouri health care workforce information system and the Division of Professional Registration provides data to MoHWoRx to provide a more complete registry of health care professionals in Missouri. A data warehouse for MoHWoRx has been built to facilitate data quality assurance and analytics. Currently reports are being written to provide information on health care shortage areas and demographic, geographic and practice characteristics.

Section 324.001 of the proposal allows state boards to collaborate with the DHSS to collect and analyze workforce data to assess the availability of qualified health providers.

It is assumed that the MoHWoRx platform for the collection of information on the healthcare workforce will continue to be utilized and that additional resources will be added to ensure data quality, identify data gaps and provide the advanced analytics necessary to provide the information on the workforce to the various boards.

To perform these additional duties, the Division of Community and Public Health (DCPH) will need one FTE Research Analyst III (\$39,984 annually). Total costs to the General Revenue Fund are estimated to be \$67,569 for FY 2016; \$74,376 for FY 2017; and \$75,318 for FY 2018.

**Oversight** assumes the language of the proposal is permissive since it states in 324.001.14(1) that the state boards "may individually or collectively enter into a contractual agreement with the department of health and senior services..." Therefore, the DHSS may or may not need additional resources to collect and analyze workforce data. As a result, Oversight will range DHSS costs from \$0 to the amount provided.

Officials from the **Department of Insurance**, **Financial Institutions and Professional Registration (DIFP)** state this legislation would have an unknown cost to various Professional Registration funds until contracts are established for the purpose of data collection. It is assumed the proposal would apply to all health-related boards.

L.R. No. 0409-02 Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 112 Page 4 of 7 March 3, 2015

### <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

The boards would incur minimal costs to collect the data. If the board(s) enter into a third party contract to analyze the data, the cost of the contract(s) would be based on the Request For Proposal (RFP).

**Oversight** assumes the language of the proposal is permissive since it states in 324.001.14(1) that the state boards "<u>may</u> individually or collectively enter into a contractual agreement with the department of health and senior services, a public institution of higher education, or a nonprofit entity..." (emphasis added). Therefore, the DIFP's Professional Registration boards may or may not need additional resources to collect and analyze workforce data. As a result, Oversight will range DIFP's various Professional Registration board costs from \$0 to unknown.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the **Department of Higher Education** and the **University of Missouri** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to the previous version of this proposal, officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules (JCAR)** stated the legislation was not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact beyond the JCAR's current appropriation.

L.R. No. 0409-02

Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 112

Page 5 of 7 March 3, 2015

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
GENERAL REVENUE FUND (§324.001)			
Costs - DHSS Personal service Fringe benefits Equipment and expense Total Cost - DHSS FTE Change - DHSS	\$0 or (\$33,320) (\$16,995) (\$17,254) \$0 or (\$67,569) 0 or 1 FTE	\$0 or (\$40,384) (\$20,598) (\$13,394) \$0 or (\$74,376) 0 or 1 FTE	\$0 or (\$40,788) (\$20,804) (\$13,726) \$0 or (\$75,318) 0 or 1 FTE
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$0 or (\$67,569)</u>	<u>\$0 or (\$74,376)</u>	<u>\$0 or (\$75,318)</u>
Estimated Net FTE Effect on the General Revenue Fund	0 or 1 FTE	0 or 1 FTE	0 or 1 FTE
PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATION FUNDS (various health-related)			
Costs - DIFP Data collection costs	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATION FUNDS	<u>\$0 or</u> (Unknown)	<u>\$0 or</u> (Unknown)	<u>\$0 or</u> (Unknown)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

# FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 0409-02 Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 112 Page 6 of 7 March 3, 2015

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal authorizes the State Board of Nursing, Board of Pharmacy, Missouri Dental Board, or State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts within the Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration to individually or collectively enter into a contractual agreement with the Department of Health and Senior Services, a public institution of higher education, or a nonprofit entity for the purpose of collecting and analyzing workforce data. Information may be obtained from each board's licensees, registrants, or permit holders for future workforce planning and to assess the accessibility and availability of qualified health care services and practitioners in Missouri.

The boards must work collaboratively with other state governmental entities to ensure coordination and avoid duplication of efforts.

The boards may expend appropriated funds necessary for operational expenses of the program and each board is authorized to accept grants to fund the collection or analysis authorized in these provisions. Any funds received under these provisions must be deposited in the respective board's fund.

Data collection must be controlled and approved by the applicable state board conducting or requesting the collection. The boards may release identifying data to the contractor to facilitate data analysis of the health care workforce including, but not limited to, geographic, demographic, and practice or professional characteristics of licensees. The state board must not request or be authorized to collect income or other financial earnings data.

Data collected under these provisions must be deemed the property of the state board requesting the data and must be maintained by the state board in accordance with Chapter 610, RSMo, the Open Meetings and Records Law, provided any information deemed closed or confidential must not be disclosed without consent of the applicable licensee or entity or as otherwise authorized by law.

The data must only be released in an aggregate form in a manner that cannot be used to identify a specific individual or entity. A contractor must maintain the confidentiality of data received or collected and must not use, disclose, or release any data without approval of the applicable state board.

This legislation is not federally mandated and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space. The proposal may, however, duplicate an existing program.

L.R. No. 0409-02 Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 112 Page 7 of 7 March 3, 2015

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Higher Education
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Office of Secretary of State
University of Missouri

Mickey Wilson, CPA Director

Mickey Wilen

March 3, 2015

Ross Strope Assistant Director March 3, 2015