

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0582-01
Bill No.: SB 93
Subject: Education, Higher; Civil Rights; Civil Procedures
Type: Original
Date: December 23, 2014

Bill Summary: This proposal creates the Campus Free Expression Act to protect free expression on the campuses of public institutions of higher education.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials at the **Department of Higher Education** and the **Office of State Courts Administrator** each assume there is no fiscal impact to their respective organizations from this proposal.

Officials at the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in cases.

Officials at **Lincoln University, Missouri Southern State University, Missouri Western State University, State Technical College of Missouri, the University of Central Missouri** and the **University of Missouri** each assume there is no fiscal impact to their respective institutions from this proposal.

Officials at the **Metropolitan Community College** assume that this language lines up with the College's current policy. However, this proposal imposes new financial liabilities to which the College would not otherwise be exposed. There is also no clear notice provision requirement that would let us know the fines that might be assessed. Therefore it is difficult to determine the exact impact at this time.

Officials at **Missouri State University** assume this would negatively impact the University but the specific amount and extent of that impact can not be determined at this time.

Officials at **Moberly Area Community College** assume this could cost the College \$300 - \$1,000 in the first year of implementation. It is not possible to estimate ongoing costs.

Officials at **Truman State University** assume they are unable to determine the impact.

Officials at **State Fair Community College** responded to Oversight's request but did not clarify the fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes that colleges and universities already have policies in place for the use of their buildings and spaces. Oversight assumes that should this proposal require the college or university to modify their existing policy it can be done with their current resources. Oversight assumes the colleges and universities will ensure their policies comply with statutes so no penalties would be awarded. Oversight assumes this proposal would not have a fiscal impact.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials at the following colleges: Crowder, East Central Community College, Harris-Stowe, Jefferson College, Northwest Missouri State University, Southeast Missouri State University, St. Charles Community College, St. Louis Community College and the Three Rivers Community College did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.


FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Higher Education
Lincoln University
Metropolitan Community College
Missouri Southern State University
Missouri State University
Missouri Western State University
Moberly Area Community College
Office of Attorney General
Office of State Courts Administrator
State Fair Community College
State Technical College of Missouri
Truman State University
University of Central Missouri
University of Missouri



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
December 23, 2014

Ross Strobe
Assistant Director
December 23, 2014