COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0772-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 250

Subject: Animals; Civil Penalties; Conservation, Department of

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: January 23, 2017

Bill Summary: This proposal imposes civil penalties for poaching certain animals.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
Conservation Commission*	\$0	\$0	\$0	
State School Moneys*	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

^{*}Revenues/Transfers In and Costs/Transfers Out net to zero

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 8 pages.

L.R. No. 0772-01 Bill No. HB 250 Page 2 of 8 January 23, 2017

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED FY 2018 FY 2019						
Total Estimated						
Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0			

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED FY 2018 FY 2019					
Local Government Unknown Unknown Unknown					

L.R. No. 0772-01 Bill No. HB 250 Page 3 of 8 January 23, 2017

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials at the **Department of Conservation (MDC)** state this proposal would not have fiscal impact on their organization.

However, in response to two similar proposals from this session (HB 46 and HB 282), officials at MDC assumed the proposals could have an unknown fiscal impact on their organization.

Due to lack of explanation or specific details to support an "unknown" impact, **Oversight** will assume MDC can absorb any impact this proposal could have on their organization.

Based on the MDC Annual Report for 2014 - 2015 the following information has been obtained by **Oversight**:

Contacts by Conservation Agents with Hunters and Anglers to Ensure Compliance Fiscal Year 2015				
# of Hunters /Anglers	# of Resource Violations Noted by MDC Agents	# of Written Warnings Issued by MDC Agents	# of Arrests by MDC Agents	% of Arrests resulting in a Guilty Plea
178,828	25,245	3,477	7,066	91%

Operation Game Thief in Calendar Year 2014 Produced*			
# of Telephone Calls	# of Arrests	Total Amount of Reward Money Paid to Informants	
822	269	\$10,150	

^{*}Operation Game Thief is a way for citizens to anonymously report poaching incidents with the opportunity for a monetary reward. The Operation Game Thief traveling exhibit was used at 12 events, including the state fair.

L.R. No. 0772-01 Bill No. HB 250 Page 4 of 8 January 23, 2017

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials at the **Office of State Courts Administrator (OSCA)** assume this proposal would not have a fiscal impact on their organization.

However, in response to two similar proposals from this session (HB 46 and HB 282), officials at the OSCA assumed the proposals could have an unknown fiscal impact on their organization but no way to currently quantify it; any significant changes would be reflected in future budget requests.

Oversight will not show an unknown fiscal impact to OSCA which could be minimal and if significant, additional funding could be requested through the appropriation process.

Officials at the **Department of Agriculture**, **Department of Natural Resources**, and **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** each assume this proposal will not have a fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Officials at the school district of **Bakersfield R-IV** assume this proposal could have a positive fiscal impact estimated at \$5,000 annually.

However, in response to a similar proposal form this session (HB 46), officials at the **Bakersfield R-IV** assumed the proposal would have a positive fiscal impact to their organization estimated at \$6,000 per year.

Oversight will not show the estimated fiscal impact to Bakersfield R-IV which would be minimal to this school district.

Officials at the school districts of **Forsyth R-II**, **Kirksville R-III**, **Pettis County R-XII**, and **Wright City R-II** each assume this proposal could have an unknown positive fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to a similar proposal from this session (HB 46), officials at the **Campbell R-II**, **Forsyth R-II**, **St. Elizabeth R-IV**, **Wentzville R-IV**, and **West Plains R-VII** each assumed the proposal will have an unknown positive fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to a similar proposal from this session (HB 282), officials at the School Districts of **Forsyth R-II** and **Kirksville R-III** each assumed the proposal would have an unknown positive fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight will show an unknown positive fiscal impact to school districts.

Officials at the school districts of **Kearney R-I** and **West Plains R-VII** each assume this proposal would not have a fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

L.R. No. 0772-01 Bill No. HB 250 Page 5 of 8 January 23, 2017

ASSUMPTION (continued)

In response to a similar proposal from this session (HB 46), officials at the School Districts of Bowling Green R-II, Bronaugh R-VII, Concordia R-II, Grain Valley R-V, Kansas City Public Schools, Malta Bend, Middle Grove C-I, Pettis County R-XII, Special School District of St. Louis County, Seymour R-II, Warren County R-III, and Wright City R-II each assumed the proposal would not have a fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to a similar proposal from this session (HB 282), officials at the School Districts of **Kansas City, Special School District of St. Louis County**, and **West Plains R-VII** each assumed the proposal would not have a fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Officials at the following school districts: Arcadia Valley R-2, Aurora R-8, Avilla R-13, Belton, Benton County R-2, Bismark R-5, Bloomfield R-14, Blue Springs, Bolivar R-I, Branson, Brentwood, Carrollton R-7, Caruthersville, Cassville R-4, Central R-III, Chilhowee R-4, Chillicothe R-II, Clarkton C-4, Cole R-I, Columbia, Crawford County R-1, Crocker R-II, Delta C-7, East Carter R-2, East Newton R-6, Eldon R-I, Everton R-III, Fair Grove, Fair Play, Fayette R-3, Fox C-6, Fredericktown R-I, Fulton, Hancock Place, Hannibal, Harrisonburg R-8, Harrisonville, Hillsboro R-3, Hollister R-5, Humansville R-4, Hurley R-1, Independence, Jefferson City, Kennett #39, King City R-1, Kingston 42, Kirbyville R-VI, Laclede County R-1, Laredo R-7, Lee Summit, Leeton R-10, Lewis County C-1, Lindbergh, Lonedell R-14, Macon County R-1, Macon County R-4, Mehville, Mexico, Midway R-1, Milan C-2, Moberly, Monroe City R-I, Morgan County R-2, New Haven, Nixa, North St. Francois Co. R-1, Northeast Nodaway R-5, Odessa R-VII, Oregon-Howell R-III, Orrick R-11, Osage County R-II, Osborn R-O, Parkway, Pattonville, Pierce City, Plato R-5, Princeton R-5, Raymore-Peculiar R-III, Raytown, Reeds Springs R-IV, Renick R-5, Richland R-1, Riverview Gardens, Salisbury R-4, Sarcoxie R-2, Scotland County R-I, Sedalia, Shelby County R-4, Shell Knob #78, Sikeston, Silex, Slater, Smithville R-2, Spickard R-II, Springfield, St Joseph, St Louis, St. Charles, Sullivan, Tipton R-6, Valley R-6, Verona R-7, Warrensburg R-6, Webster Groves, and the Westview C-6 School District did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

L.R. No. 0772-01 Bill No. HB 250 Page 6 of 8 January 23, 2017

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2018 (10 Mo.)	FY 2019	FY 2020
CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND			
Revenue - MDC - Poaching Fines	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Transfer Out</u> - to State School Moneys Funds	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
NET EFFECT ON CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
STATE SCHOOL MONEYS FUND			
<u>Transfer In</u> - from Conservation Commission Fund - Poaching Fines	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
<u>Costs</u> - Distributions to School Districts	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
NET EFFECT ON STATE SCHOOL MONEYS FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2018 (10 Mo.)	FY 2019	FY 2020
SCHOOL DISTRICTS	(10 Mo.)		
<u>Income</u> - from poaching fines (§252.042)	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill specifies that any person convicted of chasing, pursuing, taking, transporting, killing, processing, or disposing of certain wildlife in violation of the Missouri Conservation Commission's rules and regulations may be required to pay restitution to the state. The moneys

L.R. No. 0772-01 Bill No. HB 250 Page 7 of 8 January 23, 2017

FISCAL DESCRIPTION (Continued)

collected will be transferred to the State School Moneys fund.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Agriculture

Department of Natural Resources

Department of Conservation

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Office of State Courts Administrator

School Districts of:

Bakersfield R-IV

Bowling Green R-II

Bronaugh R-VII

Campbell R-II

Concordia R-II

Forsyth R-III

Grain Valley R-V

Kansas City

Kearney R-I

Kirksville R-III

Malta Bend

Middle Grove C-I

Pettis County R-XII

Seymour R-II

Special School District of St. Louis County

St. Elizabeth R-IV

Wentzville R-IV

West Plains R-VII

Wright City R-II

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Ross Strope

L.R. No. 0772-01 Bill No. HB 250 Page 8 of 8 January 23, 2017

Director January 23, 2017 Assistant Director January 23, 2017