COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1324-03 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 682

Subject: Taxation and Revenue - Sales and Use

Type: Original

Date: February 23, 2017

Bill Summary: This proposal would modify certain definitions for sales and use tax

exemptions.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 7 pages.

L.R. No. 1324-03 Bill No. HB 682 Page 2 of 7 February 23, 2017

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 1324-03 Bill No. HB 682 Page 3 of 7 February 23, 2017

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning (B&P)** assume this bill would add telecommunications to the manufacturing sales tax exemption. B&P officials note that this exemption was originally granted by the Missouri Supreme Court beginning in 2002. While the Missouri Supreme Court reversed that decision in the spring of 2016, the Department of Revenue has not sent notice to taxpayers of the exemption change and is not currently collecting these taxes. Therefore, B&P officials assume this proposal would not impact Total State Revenue or the calculation under Article X, Section 18(e).

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation** assume this proposal would have an unknown negative fiscal impact on their organization since the Conservation Sales Tax funds are derived from one-eighth of one percent sales and use tax pursuant to the Missouri Constitution. The Department assumes the Department of Revenue would be better able to estimate the anticipated fiscal impact that would result from this proposal.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** noted the Department's Parks and Soils Sales Tax Funds are derived from one-tenth of one percent sales and use tax pursuant to the Missouri Constitution. Therefore, any additional sales and use tax exemptions would result in a loss to the Parks and Soils Sales Tax Funds. DNR officials assume the Department of Revenue and Office of Administration-Division of Budget and Planning will provide a more detailed account of the fiscal impact.

Officials from **Boone County** stated the proposal would have a negative impact on their organization but did not provide an estimate of the impact.

Officials from **Cole County** stated that any additional exemptions would have a negative impact on their organization but the amount can not be determined at this time.

Officials from **St. Louis County** assume the loss of sales tax revenue is not something they can quantify.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** assume this proposal would cause a substantial loss of sales tax revenue to the City.

L.R. No. 1324-03 Bill No. HB 682 Page 4 of 7 February 23, 2017

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Forsyth R-III School District** assume this proposal would have a negative effect on their organization but did not provide an estimate of that impact.

In response to a similar proposal, officials from the **Kansas City Public Schools** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from the **Kirksville R-III School District** stated that any additional exemptions would have a negative impact on their organization.

Officials from the **West Plains School District** assume this proposal would result in reduced revenues to their organization as a result of lost sales tax revenues reducing funding for the Foundation Formula.

Officials from the Office of the State Courts Administrator, the Office of the Secretary of State, the Office of the Attorney General, the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules, the Department of Revenue, Callaway County, the Jackson County Election Board, the Platte County Board of Elections, and the St. Louis County Directors of Elections, assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

Officials from the following counties: Andrew, Atchison, Audrain, Barry, Benton, Bollinger, Buchanan, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Christian, Clay, Cooper, Daviess, DeKalb, Dent, Franklin, Greene, Holt, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Knox, Laclede, Lawrence, Lincoln, Maries, Marion, McDonald, Miller, Mississippi, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, New Madrid, Nodaway, Ozark, Perry, Pettis, Phelps, Platte, Pulaski, Scott, St. Charles, St. Francois, Taney, Warren, Wayne, Webster and Worth did not respond to our request for information.

Officials the following cities: Ashland, Belton, Bernie, Bonne Terre, Boonville, California, Cape Girardeau, Clayton, Columbia, Dardenne Prairie, Excelsior Springs, Florissant, Frontenac, Fulton, Grandview, Harrisonville, Independence, Jefferson City, Joplin, Kearney, Knob Noster, Ladue, Lake Ozark, Lee Summit, Liberty, Louisiana, Maryland Heights, Maryville, Mexico, Monett, Neosho, O'Fallon, Peculiar, Pineville, Popular Bluff, Raytown, Republic, Richmond, Rolla, Sedalia, Springfield, St. Charles, St. Joseph, St. Louis, St. Robert, Sugar Creek, Sullivan, Warrensburg, Warrenton, Webb City, Weldon Spring and West Plains did not respond to our request for information.

L.R. No. 1324-03 Bill No. HB 682 Page 5 of 7 February 23, 2017

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials from the following school districts: Arcadia Valley R-2, Avilla R-13, Bakersfield, Belton, Benton County R-2, Bismark R-5, Bloomfield R-14, Blue Springs, Bolivar R-I, Bowling Green R-1, Branson, Brentwood, Bronaugh R-7, Campbell R-2, Carrollton R-7, Caruthersville, Cassville R-4, Central R-III, Chilhowee R-4, Chillicothe R-II, Clarkton C-4, Cole R-I, Columbia, Concordia R-2, Crawford County R-1, Crocker R-II, Delta C-7, East Carter R-2, East Newton R-6, Eldon R-I, Everton R-III, Fair Grove, Fair Play, Fayette R-3, Fox C-6, Fredericktown R-I, Fulton, Grain Valley, Hancock Place, Hannibal, Harrisonburg R-8, Harrisonville, Hillsboro R-3, Hollister R-5, Humansville R-4, Hurley R-1, Independence, Jefferson City, Kearney R-1, Kennett #39, King City R-1, Kingston 42, Kirbyville R-VI, Lee Summit, Leeton R-10, Lewis County C-1, Lindbergh, Lonedell R-14, Macon County R-1, Macon County R-4, Malta Bend, Mehville, Meramec Valley R-3, Mexico, Middle Grove C-1, Midway R-1, Milan C-2, Moberly, Monroe City R-I, Morgan County R-2, New Haven, Nixa, North St. François Co. R-1, Northeast Nodaway R-5, Odessa R-VII, Oregon-Howell R-III, Orrick R-11, Osage County R-II, Osborn R-O, Parkway, Pattonville, Pettis County R-12, Pierce City, Plato R-5, Princeton R-5, Raymore-Peculiar R-III, Raytown, Reeds Springs R-IV, Renick R-5, Richland R-1, Richmond R-XVI, Riverview Gardens, Salisbury R-4, Sarcoxie R-2, Scotland County R-I, Sedalia, Seymour R-2, Shelby County R-4, Shell Knob #78, Sikeston, Silex, Smithville R-2, Special School District of St. Louis County, Spickard R-II, Springfield, St Joseph, St Louis, St. Charles, St. Elizabeth R-4, Sullivan, Valley R-6, Verona R-7, Warren County R-3, Warrensburg R-6, Webster Groves, Westview C-6 and the Wright City R-2 School District did not respond to our request for information.

L.R. No. 1324-03 Bill No. HB 682 Page 6 of 7 February 23, 2017

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2018 (10 Mo.)	FY 2019	FY 2020
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2018 (10 Mo.)	FY 2019	FY 2020
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal would modify the definition of the terms "product" and "manufacturing" in sales and use tax exemptions to include telecommunications services and the term "manufacturing" would specifically include the electronic transfer of voices.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 1324-03 Bill No. HB 682 Page 7 of 7 February 23, 2017

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State

Office of the Attorney General Joint Committee on Administrative Rules Office of State Courts Administrator Office of Administration Division of Budget and Planning Department of Conservation Department of Natural Resources Department of Revenue **Boone County** Callaway County Cole County St. Louis County City of Kansas City Forsyth R-III School District Kansas City Public Schools Kirksville R-III School District

St. Louis County Board of Election Commission

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

West Plains School District Jackson County Election Board Platte County Board of Elections

Director

February 23, 2017

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 23, 2017