

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4813-01
Bill No.: HB 1729
Subject: Employees-Employers; Labor and Management
Type: Original
Date: February 1, 2018

Bill Summary: This proposal repeals provisions relating to prevailing wages on public works.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
General Revenue Fund	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Other State Funds	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 8 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Local Government	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations (DOLIR)** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from the **Office of Administration** assume this bill repeals the prevailing wage law. A review of scholarly articles shows that there is no generally accepted methodology for determining the cost savings, if any, that may result from legislation such as this. A number of factors would affect **Facilities Management, Design & Construction's (FMDC)** cost savings, if any, from this bill, including, but not limited to, how much contractors choose to pay their employees in the absence of prevailing wage and whether contractors choose to pass on any labor costs savings to FMDC. Any Facilities Maintenance Reserve Fund (FMRF) savings will be used to decrease other deferred maintenance projects.

This bill could potentially affect the rental rates for future leases and improvements at leased facilities, as property owners are presently obligated to pay prevailing wage for alterations or improvements made to properties leased by the State. However, FMDC cannot calculate the impact of this legislation on rental rates for the reasons discussed above. Any savings from the elimination of prevailing wage will be used to offset increased costs for rental rates because of increases by the Lessor, or increases in janitorial and utilities.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC)** assume an unknown positive fiscal impact but greater than \$100,000 due to savings of wages paid for construction.

Officials from the **City of Columbia** assume that prevailing wage adds cost to local construction projects, depending on the project and the skill set needed.

Officials from the **Missouri State University** assume the proposal will have positive fiscal impact of an undetermined amount.

Officials from the **Missouri Western State University** assume the proposal will have a positive fiscal impact. The savings would be determined on individual project basis.

Officials from the **University of Central Missouri** assume the proposal would have a minimal fiscal impact.

Officials from the **State Technical College of Missouri** assume the proposal could have a positive fiscal impact.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Summersville R2 School District** and **Kirksville R-III School District** assume the proposal could have a positive fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes this proposal repeals the law relating to the prevailing hourly rate of wages paid for work done on public works; therefore, Oversight will reflect a \$0 or unknown savings to the General Revenue Fund, Various Other State funds, and local political subdivisions.

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** and **Office of Administration-Personnel** both assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Officials from the **Jackson County Board of Election Commissioners, St. Louis County Board of Election Commissioners, Platte County Board of Elections** and **City of Kansas City** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Officials from the **University of Missouri** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from the following **cities**: Ashland, Belton, Bernie, Bonne Terre, Boonville, California, Cape Girardeau, Clayton, Dardenne Prairie, Excelsior Springs, Florissant, Frontenac, Fulton, Grandview, Harrisonville, Independence, Jefferson City, Joplin, Kearney, Knob Noster, Ladue, Lake Ozark City, Lee Summit, Liberty, Louisiana, Maryland Heights, Maryville, Mexico, Monett, Neosho, O'Fallon, Peculiar, Pineville, Popular Bluff, Raytown, Republic, Richmond, Rolla, Sedalia, Springfield, St. Charles City Administrator, St. Louis City Budget Division, St. Robert, Sugar Creek, Sullivan, Warrensburg, Warrenton, Webb City, Weldon Spring and West Plains did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

Officials from the following **counties**: Andrew, Atchison, Audrain, Barry, Benton, Bollinger, Boone, Buchanan, Callaway, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Christian, Clay, Clinton, Cole, Cooper, Davies, Dekalb, Dent, Franklin, Greene, Holt, Jefferson, Johnson, Knox, Laclede, Lawrence, Lincoln, Maries, Marion, McDonald, Miller, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, New Madrid, Nodaway, Ozark, Perry, Pettis, Pulaski, Scott, St. Charles, St. Francois, Taney, Warren, Wayne, and Worth did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

Officials from the following **colleges and universities**: Crowder, East Central, Harris-Stowe, Jefferson College, Kansas City Metropolitan Community College, Lincoln University, Moberly Area Community College, Missouri Southern State University, Northwest Missouri State University, Southeast Missouri State University, State Fair Community College, St. Charles

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Community College, St. Louis Community College, Three Rivers Community College, and Truman State University did not respond to **Oversight's** request for a statement of fiscal impact.

Officials from the following **school districts**: Alton R-IV, Arcadia Valley R-2, Ash Grove R-IV, Ava R-1, Avilla R-13, Bakersfield R-4, Belton School District #124, Benton County R-2, Bismark R-5, Bloomfield R-14, Blue Springs, Bolivar R-I, Bowling Green R-1, Bradleyville R-1, Branson, Brentwood, Bronaugh R-7, Campbell R-2, Carrollton R-7, Caruthersville, Central R-III, Chilhowee R-4, Chillicothe R-II, Clarkton C-4, Cole R-I, Columbia, Concordia R-2, Couch R-1, Crawford County R-1, Crawford County R-2, Crocker R-II, Delta C-7, East Carter R-2, East Lynne 40, East Newton R-6, Eldon R-I, Fair Play, Fayette R-3, Forsyth R-3, Fox C-6, Fredericktown R-I, Fulton, Gasconade County R-1, Glenwood R-8, Grain Valley, Green City R-1, Green Ridge R-8, Hallsville R-IV, Hancock Place, Hannibal School District #60, Harrisonburg R-8, Harrisonville, Hartville R-11, Hazelwood, Henry County R-1, Hillsboro R-3, Holcomb R-III, Hollister R-5, Humansville R-4, Hurley R-1, Independence, Jasper R-5, Jefferson City, Kansas City, Kennett #39, King City R-1, Kirbyville R-VI, Lathrop R-2, Lee Summit, Leeton R-10, Lewis County C-1, Liberty, Lincoln R-2, Lindbergh, Lonedell R-14, Macon County R-1, Macon County R-IV, Madison C-3, Malta Bend, Maplewood Richmond Heights, Marshfield R-1, Maryville R-II, Maysville R-1, Meadville R-IV, Mehville School District R-9, Meramec Valley R-3, Mexico, Middle Grove C-1, Midway R-1, Milan C-2, Miller R-11, Moberly, Montgomery County R-11, Morgan County R-2, Mountain Grove R-III, Mt. Vernon R-V, New Bloomfield R-III, New Haven, Niangua R-5, Nixa, North Harrison R-3, North Kansas City, North St. Francois Co. R-1, Northeast Nodaway R-5, Northwest R-1, Oak Ridge R-6, Odessa R-VII, Oregon-Howell R-III, Orrick R-11, Osage County, Osage R-2, Osborn R-O, Parkway, Pattonville, Pettis County R-12, Pierce City R-6, Plato R-5, Polo R-VII, Prairie Home R-5, Princeton R-5, Purdy R-II, Raymore-Peculiar R-III, Raytown, Reeds Springs R-IV, Renick R-5, Republic R-III, Rich Hill R-IV, Richards R-5, Richland R-1, Richmond R-XVI, Riverview Gardens, Rockwood School District, Salisbury R-4, Sarcoux R-2, Scotland County R-I, Scott City R-1, Sedalia, Seymour R-2, Shell Knob #78, Sherwood Cass R-5, Sikeston, Silex, Smithville R-2, South Harrison R-11, Southland C-9, Sparta R-III, Special School District of St. Louis County, Spickard R-II, Spokane R-VII, Springfield, St Joseph, St Louis, St. Charles, St. Elizabeth R-4, Stanberry R-III, Stewartsville C-2, Sullivan, Sweet Springs, Trenton R-1x, Valley Park, Valley R-6, Verona R-7, Warren County R-3, Warrensburg R-6, Warsaw R-IX, Waynesville, Webster Groves, Wellsville Middletown R-1, Wentzville R-IV, West Plains R-VII, Westran R-1, Westview C-6, Willard R-2 and the Wright City R-2 School District did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
<u>Savings</u> Repeals prevailing wage	<u>\$0 or Unknown</u>	<u>\$0 or Unknown</u>	<u>\$0 or Unknown</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$0 or Unknown</u>	<u>\$0 or Unknown</u>	<u>\$0 or Unknown</u>
 OTHER STATE FUNDS			
<u>Savings</u> Repeals prevailing wage	<u>\$0 or Unknown</u>	<u>\$0 or Unknown</u>	<u>\$0 or Unknown</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON VARIOUS OTHER STATE FUNDS	<u>\$0 or Unknown</u>	<u>\$0 or Unknown</u>	<u>\$0 or Unknown</u>
 <u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS			
<u>Savings</u> Repeals prevailing wage	<u>\$0 or Unknown</u>	<u>\$0 or Unknown</u>	<u>\$0 or Unknown</u>
ESTIMATED EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	<u>\$0 or Unknown</u>	<u>\$0 or Unknown</u>	<u>\$0 or Unknown</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

There could be a fiscal impact to contractors and subcontractors who bid on public works projects.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION


This proposal repeals Missouri's prevailing wage law. Currently, contractors and subcontractors working on public works projects are required to pay employees the prevailing wage for the particular locality in which the project is being completed. This proposal changes the law to require contractors and subcontractors to pay employees state or federal minimum wage, whichever is higher. However, any preexisting public works related contract as of August 28, 2018, shall comply with Missouri's preexisting prevailing wage requirements.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
Office of Administration-Facilities Management, Design and Construction
Missouri Department of Conservation
City of Columbia
Missouri State University
Missouri Western State University
University of Central Missouri
State Technical College of Missouri
Summersville R2 School District
Kirksville R-III School District
Department of Transportation
Office of Administration-Personnel
Jackson County Board of Election Commissioners
St. Louis County Board of Election Commissioners
Platte County Board of Elections
City of Kansas City
University of Missouri

Ross Strope



Acting Director
February 1, 2018