

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 5497-01
Bill No.: Perfected HB 1832
Subject: Credit and Bankruptcy; Banks and Financial Institutions; Consumer Protection;
Business and Commerce; Crimes and Punishment
Type: Original
Date: March 28, 2018

Bill Summary: This proposal changes the provisions concerning merchandising practices.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§§407.431, 407.432, 407.433, 407.435, 407.436

Officials at the **Office of the Attorney General (AGO)** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if there is a significant increase in litigation.

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials at the **Office of State Public Defender (SPD)** cannot assume that existing staff will provide effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons are charged with the proposed new crime of illegal use of a credit card scanner, a new Class D felony. Subsequent offenses are elevated to a new Class C felony.

While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide effective representation.

Oversight assumes the SPD can absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal.

Officials at the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assume there may be some impact but there is no way to quantify that currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

Officials at the **Department of Corrections, the Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration, the Office of the Secretary of State, the Office of Prosecution Services** and the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

House Amendment #1 - §407.300

Officials at the **Office of the Attorney General (AGO)** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if there is a significant increase in litigation.

Officials at the **Department of Natural Resources** assume no fiscal impact from this proposal.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

In response to similar legislation from this year, HB 1882, officials at the **Department of Public Safety's Office of the Director** assumed no fiscal impact from this proposal.

House Amendment #2 - §407.1500

Officials at the **Office of the Attorney General (AGO)** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if there is a significant increase in litigation.

Officials at the **Department of Revenue** and the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

In response to similar legislation from this year, HB 1279, officials at the **Department of Public Safety's Office of the Director**, the **Office of Administration's Information Technology Services Division** and **Administrative Hearing Commission** and the **Office of the Secretary of State** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Certain small businesses could be impacted by this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Attorney General
Department of Public Safety
 Office of the Director
Department of Revenue
Office of Administration
 Information Technology Services Division
 Administrative Hearing Commission
Department of Natural Resources
Office of the State Public Defender
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Department of Corrections
Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Office of the Secretary of State
Office of Prosecution Services

Ross Strope



Acting Director
March 28, 2018