

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 5706-02
Bill No.: Perfected HCS for HB 2116
Subject: Boats and Watercraft
Type: Original
Date: February 28, 2018

Bill Summary: This proposal pertains to motorboat regulations.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

House Amendment 1:

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HB 1591), officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol (MHP)** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

MHP stated that currently there is a fine of \$137 for the violation of operating a vessel above idle speed within 100 feet of a dock, occupied anchored boat, or pier (§306.125). Between October 1, 2016 and September 30, 2017, 28 citations and 412 warnings were issued for this violation, resulting in approximately \$3,836 in fine revenue and associated court costs.

There is a fine of \$137 for the violation of personal flotation devices not accessible, serviceable, or United States Coast Guard approved (§306.142). Between October 1, 2016 and September 30, 2017, 24 citations and 1,861 warnings were issued for this violation, resulting in approximately \$3,288 in fine revenue and associated court costs.

Oversight notes this proposal reduces the fine amount to \$25 for each of the violations mentioned above. Oversight assumes this would result in a small reduction in fine revenue due to local political subdivisions but assume the amount to be immaterial.

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HB 1591), officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assumed many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HB 1591), officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** stated that the proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact to their agency beyond its current appropriation.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 5706-02
Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 2116
Page 5 of 5
February 28, 2018

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol
Office of the Secretary of State
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Ross Strope

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ross Strope", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Acting Director
February 28, 2018