

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 4468-03  
Bill No.: HCS for HB Nos. 2241 & 2244  
Subject: Animals; Cities, Towns and Villages; Political Subdivisions  
Type: Original  
Date: February 19, 2020

---

Bill Summary: This proposal prohibits villages, towns, and cities from regulating dogs in a breed-specific manner.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2021</b>	<b>FY 2022</b>	<b>FY 2023</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2021</b>	<b>FY 2022</b>	<b>FY 2023</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2021</b>	<b>FY 2022</b>	<b>FY 2023</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0 or (Unknown, greater than \$25,000)</b>	<b>\$0 or (Unknown, greater than \$25,000)</b>	<b>\$0 or (Unknown, greater than \$25,000)</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

In response to a previous version, officials at the **City of Springfield** assumed a negative impact from this proposal. Currently, the City has heightened ownership requirements for pit bulls, including registration, muzzling while not on owner's property, and posting a sign on the property. The City would lose approximately \$25,000 in registration fees annually, and there may be additional unquantifiable costs related to animal control issues.

Officials at the **Department of Agriculture** assume no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials at the **City of Kansas City** and the **City of Columbia** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials at the **City of O'Fallon** and the **City of Brentwood** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

**Oversight** only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other cities and counties were requested to respond to this proposed legislation, but did not. A general listing of political subdivisions included in our database is available upon request.

**Oversight** notes there are several cities with ordinances in place regarding registration, proper confinement and the posting of signs for certain breeds of dogs. Such cities include Springfield, Liberty, Independence, Florissant, Ferguson and Carthage Missouri. Oversight is unclear on how much the cities charge for registration and how many dogs are registered in those cities. Oversight assumes that should this proposal be enacted, registration fees in those cities and possibly others not listed could be eliminated from the cities revenue. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a \$0 or unknown, greater than \$25,000 negative impact to local political subdivision for this proposal.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2021 (10 Mo.)	FY 2022	FY 2023
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2021 (10 Mo.)	FY 2022	FY 2023
<b>LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS</b>			
<u>Loss</u> - Local Political Subdivisions - potential loss of fees generated from registering breed-specific dogs.	\$0 or (Unknown, greater than <u>\$25,000</u> )	\$0 or (Unknown, greater than <u>\$25,000</u> )	\$0 or (Unknown, greater than <u>\$25,000</u> )
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS</b>	<b>\$0 or (Unknown, greater than <u>\$25,000</u>)</b>	<b>\$0 or (Unknown, greater than <u>\$25,000</u>)</b>	<b>\$0 or (Unknown, greater than <u>\$25,000</u>)</b>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The bill specifies that the General Assembly occupies and preempts the entire field of legislation touching in any way the control or regulation of specific breeds of dogs. However, a village, town, city, or county can still prohibit dogs from running at large or to further control or regulate dogs within its boundaries so long as the ordinance, order, policy, or regulation is not breed specific.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

City of Springfield  
Department of Agriculture  
City of Columbia  
City of Kansas City  
City of O'Fallon  
City of Brentwood



Julie Morff  
Director  
February 19, 2020



Ross Strobe  
Assistant Director  
February 19, 2020