

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0808H.06C
Bill No.: HCS No. 2 for HB 75
Subject: Cities, Towns, and Villages; Political Subdivisions; Health and Senior Services,
Department of; Health, Public; Emergencies
Type: Original
Date: February 24, 2021

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to public health orders issued by government entities.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
- Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§67.260 – Special permission to implement extended public health or safety closures

Officials from the **Columbia/Boone County Department of Public Health and Human Services** state there will be an unknown cost due to an increase in COVID-19 cases and other communicable diseases requiring disease investigation and contact tracing. It is impossible to estimate how many additional COVID-19 cases and other communicable diseases there will be during the time governing body would have to accept the order. If the order is rejected, the fiscal impact would be greater.

In response to a previous version of this proposal, officials from the **City of Springfield (Springfield)** stated Springfield anticipates a potential negative fiscal impact of an unknown amount due to an increase in COVID-19 cases or other communicable disease outbreaks requiring disease investigation and contact tracing if an outbreak exceeds thirty days and approval from the department of health and senior services to enact an order longer than thirty days is not timely received. Additionally, Springfield anticipates increased costs if an outbreak exceeds ninety days and a request to continue a mitigation order is denied. It is impossible to estimate how many additional COVID-19 or other communicable disease cases there would be.

Oversight notes it is currently the responsibility of local public health agencies (LPHAs) to conduct disease investigation and contact tracing regardless of whether a statewide emergency has been proclaimed by the governor. It is assumed any potential costs that may be incurred as a result of this proposal are indirect costs. Therefore, Oversight will present no fiscal impact for provisions of this proposal.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services**, the **Missouri House of Representatives**, the **Missouri Senate**, the **City of Claycomo**, the **City of Corder**, the **City of Kansas City** and the **Newton County Health Department** each indicate this proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

In response a previous version of this proposal, officials from the **City of Southwest City** stated this proposal will have a fiscal impact. Officials stated they are currently following all CDC and local health department guidelines regarding this issue. **Oversight** notes no additional information regarding the fiscal impact of this proposal was provided.

In response a previous version of this proposal, officials from the **City of Hughesville**, the **City of O'Fallon**, the **City of St. Louis** and the **City of Tipton** each assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information

to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Rule Promulgation

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** assume this proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact beyond its current appropriation.

In response a previous version of this proposal, officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** noted many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The Secretary of State's office is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to Secretary of State's office for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The Secretary of State's office recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, we also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what our office can sustain with our core budget. Therefore, we reserve the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other cities, various county officials and county health departments were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A general listing of political subdivisions included in our database is available upon request.

This proposal contains an emergency clause.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – State Government</u>	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government</u>	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

This proposal could have a fiscal impact on small businesses depending upon actions by governmental agencies.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This proposal contains an emergency clause.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Health and Senior Services
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Missouri House of Representatives
Missouri Senate
Office of the Secretary of State
City of Claycomo
City of Corder
City of Hale
City of Hughesville
City of Kansas City
City of O'Fallon
City of Southwest City
City of Springfield
City of St. Louis
City of Tipton
Columbia/Boone County Department of Public Health and Human Services
Newton County Health Department



Julie Morff
Director
February 24, 2021



Ross Strobe
Assistant Director
February 24, 2021