



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
**WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM**

BILL NUMBER: <b>HB 845</b>		DATE: <b>2/3/2021</b>	
COMMITTEE: <b>Utilities</b>			
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IN SUPPORT OF <input type="checkbox"/> IN OPPOSITION TO <input type="checkbox"/> FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES			
<b>WITNESS NAME</b>			
<b>INDIVIDUAL:</b>			
WITNESS NAME: <b>ARNIE "HONEST-ABE" DIENOFF-STATE PUBLIC ADVOCATE</b>		PHONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: <b>ArnieDienoff@Yahoo.Com</b>	ATTENDANCE: <b>Written</b>	SUBMIT DATE: <b>2/3/2021 12:00 AM</b>	
<b>THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.</b>			

**We need to attract Energy Savings Projects to Missouri and provide for a reasonable and affordable Property Tax.**



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
**WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM**

BILL NUMBER: <b>HB 845</b>		DATE: <b>2/3/2021</b>	
COMMITTEE: <b>Utilities</b>			
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IN SUPPORT OF <input type="checkbox"/> IN OPPOSITION TO <input type="checkbox"/> FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES			
<b>WITNESS NAME</b>			
<b>REGISTERED LOBBYIST:</b>			
WITNESS NAME: <b>TRENT WATSON</b>		PHONE NUMBER: <b>314-606-0141</b>	
REPRESENTING: <b>MISSOURI ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES</b>		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: <b>P O BOX 2221</b>			
CITY: <b>JEFFERSON CITY</b>		STATE: <b>MO</b>	ZIP: <b>65102</b>
EMAIL:	ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT DATE: <b>2/3/2021 12:00 AM</b>	
<b>THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.</b>			



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
**WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM**

BILL NUMBER: <b>HB 845</b>		DATE: <b>2/3/2021</b>	
COMMITTEE: <b>Utilities</b>			
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IN SUPPORT OF <input type="checkbox"/> IN OPPOSITION TO <input type="checkbox"/> FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES			
<b>WITNESS NAME</b>			
<b>REGISTERED LOBBYIST:</b>			
WITNESS NAME: <b>ZACH MONROE</b>		PHONE NUMBER: <b>573-681-7124</b>	
REPRESENTING: <b>AMEREN MISSOURI</b>		TITLE:	
ADDRESS: <b>101 MADISON</b>			
CITY: <b>JEFFERSON CITY</b>		STATE: <b>MO</b>	ZIP: <b>65101</b>
EMAIL:	ATTENDANCE:	SUBMIT DATE: <b>2/3/2021 12:00 AM</b>	
<b>THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.</b>			



MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
**WITNESS APPEARANCE FORM**

BILL NUMBER: <b>HB 845</b>		DATE: <b>2/3/2021</b>	
COMMITTEE: <b>Utilities</b>			
<b>TESTIFYING:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> IN SUPPORT OF <input type="checkbox"/> IN OPPOSITION TO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES			
<b>WITNESS NAME</b>			
<b>INDIVIDUAL:</b>			
WITNESS NAME: <b>ELIZABETH PETERSON</b>		PHONE NUMBER:	
BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME:		TITLE:	
ADDRESS:			
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP:
EMAIL: <b>Buffypeterson@hotmail.com</b>		ATTENDANCE: <b>Written</b>	SUBMIT DATE: <b>2/3/2021 12:00 AM</b>

**THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM IS PUBLIC RECORD UNDER CHAPTER 610, RSMo.**

As a citizens groups arise regarding SMART METER deployment throughout our state. There is a group on Facebook that the core members in STL has been actively writing their city level officials to hold them personally liable for implementing not only smart meters but also 5G and small cell towers. My region of SW MO we have a FB group too with over 125 members who oppose SMART METER deployment. I have been told by Senator White that this is currently a STATE matter and not a local. Therefore, I have given over information at the Federal level in the house committees that describe there was an option for our state to accept a moratorium on 5G. This would govern the same as smart meters in that the effects are harmful to human health. The FCC gives the regulatory and the state's use that as to how they allow smart meters or 5G or small cell towers into our neighborhoods. The fact is all of us who refused the smart meters and kept our analog meters we are being given surcharges by the utility company of at least 45.00 up to 75.00 a month as to pay for meter check on our property as home owners. The energy serviced on property by a utility company is prompted by the power of the large corporate scale deployment accessibility by local government officials is construed to follow state choice on the practice of catering to telecom and utility company lobbyist who say this is all SAFE. Unfortunately, on property that is privately owned the way the implementation is occurring is stripping civilians of the right to say no without excessive surcharges being taxed upon the individual's property owners utility bill. This seems to be backlash that our state is turning a blind eye to whilst the owner is merely trying to prevent ill health effects? Does our right to establish our will to maintain good health really come at a price that is personally attached as a consequence of the freedom's of utility and telecom industry standards as acceptable to our state. When a low income home owner pays these excessive fee's to opt out of the smart meter there needs to be stricter limits on what the consequences are upon them. Also, in regards to property if a small cell tower effects my health by being place nearly on my lamppost in the front yard is that really okay with our government? ? I do not know what the energy taxation is about but I saw an opportunity to address energy use on my property regarding utility's right to intrude on my health if I opt our than utility's right to charge me excessive fee's forever. This just seems unwise. My health should not come at a price gouge! And my local level city government did not want these cell towers going in my neighborhood. I have outreached to Troy Bolander in Joplin he is the one who said that state stripped his control with statutes a few years back that our city did not agree with yet the state passed in for telecom to do as they please.